

# Kleene Algebra — Lecture 1

ESLLI 2023

## Housekeeping

- ▶ Best way to reach me is by email.
- ▶ Website: <https://kap.pe/ess11i>.
- ▶ 5 lectures, two 40-minute parts, 10-ish minute break.
- ▶ Extensive lecture notes, including exercises.
- ▶ It is *always* OK to ask me for clarification.
- ▶ It is *always* OK to discuss the exercises with other people.

# Motivation

```
while a and b do
  | e;
while a do
  | f;
  | while a and b do
    | e;
```

```
while a do
  | if b then
    | e;
  | else
    | f;
```

These programs are the same... but how do you prove that?

# Overview

- ▶ We can reason *equationally*, using properties of programs.
- ▶ We can reason *operationally*, by comparing abstract machines.
- ▶ These are *two sides of the same coin*.

## Equational reasoning

- ▶ Speaks to our intuition — you have all done this before.
- ▶ Helps to relate *programs* to *specifications*.
- ▶ Allows us to prove validity of refactoring operations.
- ▶ Solve equations to find program satisfying a specification.

# Operational reasoning

- ▶ Corresponds much more closely to what computers do.
- ▶ Long tradition of powerful automated reasoning.
- ▶ Will cover this in more detail from lecture 3 onwards.

# Syntax

Primitive actions  $\Sigma = \{a, b, c, \dots\}$ .

Compound expressions:

$$\mathbb{E} \ni e, f ::= 0 \mid 1 \mid a \in \Sigma \mid e + f \mid e \cdot f \mid e^*$$

Think of  $e \in \mathbb{E}$  as a *pattern of behavior* for a program.

## Example: Integer Square Root

In a traditional language:

```
 $i \leftarrow 0;$   
while  $(i + 1)^2 \leq n$  do  
  |  $i \leftarrow i + 1;$ 
```

In our language (for now):

```
init · (guard · incr)* · validate
```



# Semantics

## Definition (Interpretation)

An *interpretation* is a pair  $\langle S, \sigma \rangle$  where  $S$  is a set, and  $\sigma : \Sigma \rightarrow 2^{S \times S}$ .

## Definition (Relational semantics)

Let  $\sigma$  be an interpretation.  $\llbracket e \rrbracket_\sigma$  is a relation on  $S$ , defined inductively by

$$\llbracket 0 \rrbracket_\sigma = \emptyset$$

$$\llbracket 1 \rrbracket_\sigma = \text{id}_S$$

$$\llbracket a \rrbracket_\sigma = \sigma(a)$$

$$\llbracket e + f \rrbracket_\sigma = \llbracket e \rrbracket_\sigma \cup \llbracket f \rrbracket_\sigma$$

$$\llbracket e \cdot f \rrbracket_\sigma = \llbracket e \rrbracket_\sigma \circ \llbracket f \rrbracket_\sigma$$

$$\llbracket e^* \rrbracket_\sigma = \llbracket e \rrbracket_\sigma^*$$

## Example: Integer Square Root

`init · (guard · incr)* · validate`

$$S = \{f : \{i, n\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}\}$$

$$\sigma(\text{init}) = \{\langle s, s[0/i] \rangle : s \in S\}$$

$$\sigma(\text{guard}) = \{\langle s, s \rangle : (s(i) + 1)^2 \leq s(n)\}$$

$$\sigma(\text{incr}) = \{\langle s, s[s(i) + 1/i] \rangle : s \in S\}$$

$$\sigma(\text{validate}) = \{\langle s, s \rangle : (s(i) + 1)^2 > s(n)\}$$

## Reasoning

Which things are true regardless of  $\sigma$ ?

For instance,  $+$  is commutative:

$$\llbracket e + f \rrbracket_\sigma = \llbracket e \rrbracket_\sigma \cup \llbracket f \rrbracket_\sigma = \llbracket f \rrbracket_\sigma \cup \llbracket e \rrbracket_\sigma = \llbracket f + e \rrbracket_\sigma$$

Can you think of any other laws?

# Axioms

## Definition (Kleene Algebra)

We define  $\equiv$  as the smallest congruence on  $\mathbb{E}$  satisfying the following:

$$e + 0 \equiv e \quad e + e \equiv e \quad e + f \equiv f + e \quad e + (f + g) \equiv (e + f) + g$$

$$e \cdot (f \cdot g) \equiv (e \cdot f) \cdot g \quad e \cdot (f + g) \equiv e \cdot f + e \cdot g \quad (e + f) \cdot g \equiv e \cdot g + f \cdot g$$

$$e \cdot 1 \equiv e \equiv 1 \cdot e \quad e \cdot 0 \equiv 0 \equiv 0 \cdot e \quad 1 + e \cdot e^* \equiv e^* \equiv 1 + e^* \cdot e$$

$$e + f \cdot g \leq g \implies f^* \cdot e \leq g \quad e + f \cdot g \leq f \implies e \cdot g^* \leq f$$

Here  $e \leq f$  is shorthand for  $e + f \leq f$ .

# Axioms

## Lemma (Soundness)

If  $e \equiv f$ , then  $\llbracket e \rrbracket_\sigma = \llbracket f \rrbracket_\sigma$  for all interpretations  $\sigma$ .

## Proof sketch.

By induction on the construction of  $\equiv$ ; for instance, if  $e = g_1 \cdot (g_2 \cdot g_3)$  and  $f = (g_1 \cdot g_2) \cdot g_3$ , then we can derive as follows:

$$\llbracket e \rrbracket_\sigma = \llbracket g_1 \rrbracket_\sigma \circ (\llbracket g_2 \rrbracket_\sigma \circ \llbracket g_3 \rrbracket_\sigma) = (\llbracket g_1 \rrbracket_\sigma \circ \llbracket g_2 \rrbracket_\sigma) \circ \llbracket g_3 \rrbracket_\sigma = \llbracket f \rrbracket_\sigma \quad \square$$

Homework exercise: show that if  $\llbracket e + f \cdot g \rrbracket_\sigma \subseteq \llbracket g \rrbracket_\sigma$ , then  $\llbracket f^* \cdot e \rrbracket_\sigma \subseteq \llbracket g \rrbracket_\sigma$ .

# Reasoning

## Lemma

*If  $e \leq f$  and  $f \leq e$ , then  $e \equiv f$ .*

## Proof.

Recall that  $e \leq f$  and  $f \leq e$  means that  $e + f \equiv f$  and  $f + e \equiv e$ , so

$$e \equiv f + e \equiv e + f \equiv f$$



# Reasoning

## Lemma

$$e \cdot (f \cdot e)^* \leq (e \cdot f)^* \cdot e.$$

## Proof.

We can first show that

$$e + ((e \cdot f)^* \cdot e) \cdot (f \cdot e) \leq (e \cdot f)^* \cdot e$$

To see this, we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} e + ((e \cdot f)^* \cdot e) \cdot (f \cdot e) &\equiv e + ((e \cdot f)^* \cdot (e \cdot f)) \cdot e \\ &\equiv (1 + (e \cdot f)^* \cdot (e \cdot f)) \cdot e \\ &\equiv (e \cdot f)^* \cdot e \end{aligned}$$



# Completeness

Suppose showing that  $e \equiv f$ , is not working out.

- ▶ Maybe  $\llbracket e \rrbracket_\sigma \neq \llbracket f \rrbracket_\sigma$  for a certain (cleverly constructed)  $\sigma$ .
- ▶ Maybe  $\llbracket e \rrbracket_\sigma = \llbracket f \rrbracket_\sigma$  for all  $\sigma$ , but  $e \equiv f$  is simply not provable.

How can you tell the difference?

By the end of this course, you will be able to exclude these possibilities.



## A language model — motivation

The interpretation  $\sigma$  is cumbersome to carry around!

We need a model that is agnostic of the interpretation.

Solution: collect possible sequences of primitive actions.

## A language model — ground terms

### Definition (Words)

A *word* over  $\Sigma$  is a sequence  $a_1 \cdots a_n$  where  $a_i \in \Sigma$ .

We write  $\epsilon$  for the *empty word*.

When  $w, x \in \Sigma^*$ , we write  $wx$  for the concatenation of  $w$  and  $x$ .

### Definition (Languages)

A set of words is called a *language*. Let  $L$  and  $K$  be languages.

We write  $L \cdot K$  for the language  $\{wx : w \in L, x \in K\}$ .

We also write  $L^*$  for the language  $\{w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n : w_i \in L\}$ .

Note: this makes  $\Sigma^*$  the set of all words.

## A language model — definition

Idea: collect all sequences of actions denoted by  $e \in \mathbb{E}$  in a language.

### Definition (Language model)

We define  $\llbracket - \rrbracket_{\mathbb{E}} : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow 2^{\Sigma^*}$  inductively, as follows:

$$\llbracket 0 \rrbracket_{\mathbb{E}} = \emptyset$$

$$\llbracket 1 \rrbracket_{\mathbb{E}} = \{\epsilon\}$$

$$\llbracket \mathbf{a} \rrbracket_{\mathbb{E}} = \{\mathbf{a}\}$$

$$\llbracket e + f \rrbracket_{\mathbb{E}} = \llbracket e \rrbracket_{\mathbb{E}} \cup \llbracket f \rrbracket_{\mathbb{E}}$$

$$\llbracket e \cdot f \rrbracket_{\mathbb{E}} = \llbracket e \rrbracket_{\mathbb{E}} \cdot \llbracket f \rrbracket_{\mathbb{E}}$$

$$\llbracket e^* \rrbracket_{\mathbb{E}} = \llbracket e \rrbracket_{\mathbb{E}}^*$$

# Connecting the models

How do these models interrelate?

## Theorem (Equivalence of models)

Let  $e, f \in \mathbb{E}$ . The following are equivalent:

- (i)  $\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\mathbb{E}} = \llbracket f \rrbracket_{\mathbb{E}}$
- (ii) for all  $\sigma$ ,  $\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\sigma} = \llbracket f \rrbracket_{\sigma}$ .

## Corollary

Let  $e, f \in \mathbb{E}$ . If  $e \equiv f$ , then  $\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\mathbb{E}} = \llbracket f \rrbracket_{\mathbb{E}}$ .

## Connecting the models — languages to relations

### Lemma

Let  $e, f \in \mathbb{E}$ . If  $\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\mathbb{E}} = \llbracket f \rrbracket_{\mathbb{E}}$ , then for all  $\sigma$ , we have  $\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\sigma} = \llbracket f \rrbracket_{\sigma}$ .

### Proof sketch.

First, define the action of  $\sigma$  on a language as follows:

$$\hat{\sigma}(L) = \bigcup_{\mathbf{a}_1 \cdots \mathbf{a}_n \in L} \sigma(\mathbf{a}_1) \circ \cdots \circ \sigma(\mathbf{a}_n)$$

Then, show that if  $g \in \mathbb{E}$ , then  $\hat{\sigma}(\llbracket g \rrbracket_{\mathbb{E}}) = \llbracket g \rrbracket_{\sigma}$ , by induction on  $g$ .

Finally, derive  $\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\sigma} = \hat{\sigma}(\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\mathbb{E}}) = \hat{\sigma}(\llbracket f \rrbracket_{\mathbb{E}}) = \llbracket f \rrbracket_{\sigma}$



## Connecting the models — relations to languages

### Lemma

Let  $e, f \in \mathbb{E}$ . If  $\llbracket e \rrbracket_\sigma = \llbracket f \rrbracket_\sigma$  for all  $\sigma$ , then  $\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\mathbb{E}} = \llbracket f \rrbracket_{\mathbb{E}}$ .

### Proof sketch.

Consider the map  $\sharp : 2^{\Sigma^*} \rightarrow 2^{\Sigma^* \times \Sigma^*}$ , given by

$$\sharp(L) = \{\langle w, wx \rangle : w \in \Sigma^*, x \in L\}$$

One can show that  $\sharp$  is injective. So, it suffices to show that  $\sharp(\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\mathbb{E}}) = \sharp(\llbracket f \rrbracket_{\mathbb{E}})$ .

Let's choose  $S = 2^{\Sigma^* \times \Sigma^*}$ , and set  $\sigma(\mathbf{a}) = \sharp(\{\mathbf{a}\})$ .

Now for  $g \in \mathbb{E}$ , we have  $\llbracket g \rrbracket_\sigma = \sharp(\llbracket g \rrbracket_{\mathbb{E}})$ .

Finally, derive  $\sharp(\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\mathbb{E}}) = \llbracket e \rrbracket_\sigma = \llbracket f \rrbracket_\sigma = \sharp(\llbracket f \rrbracket_{\mathbb{E}})$ . □

## Looking ahead

Tomorrow: incorporate reasoning about control flow.